

A Genetic Hypothesis Of Feminism

Eugene Panferov

Abstract

If there exists a gene responsible for sexual violence (in males) then this gene is responsible for feminism (in females), by encoding only one simple emotion (disgust for sexual intercourse) from which all social complications follow naturally. It is shown that the gene is not required to encode any complex behaviour, therefore plausible.

1 Introduction

Here is a thought experiment. Suppose there are two competing genes in a peacock population: one encodes a blue tail and another encodes a red tail. The blue one also encodes adoration of the colour blue. However slight this adoration is, as long as it is greater than zero, the blue tail gene is bound to win the competition.

If we have a gene that encodes a sexually selectable feature in the selected sex, it is obligated to encode or cause in the selecting sex any degree of selective preference in favor of the encoded feature (otherwise it will be dominated by an alternative version that does the job).

2 The Hypothesis

Given that females are the selecting sex in humans, what would constitute a strategy of sexual selection in favour of rape? The simplest genetically encodable strategy is: attract as much males' attention as you can, and **dismiss everybody**. Given the abundance of lust on the males' part, this strategy ensures copulation with violent males only. The proposed strategy, as being a single fixed behavioural pattern devoid of any decision making and discrimination, is even simpler than a preference for certain colours in bird species.

The "attention seeking" part of the proposed strategy is apparently supported by many genes (out of our scope) as the attention seeking behaviour is prominent in the entire sex (however it seems overly emphasized among feminists). The "indiscriminately dismissive" part of the strategy is the key to success. It may seem weak at first glance, but it is a strategy that excludes mistakes, it may end in zero copulations (which is extremely unlikely – how many virgins do you know?), on the other hand it never allows for a copulation with a non-violent male. Moreover, when a female bonds with a male, her exposure on the sexual market falls dramatically, therefore the "be dismissive" part alone **increases the exposure** of the female on the sexual market.

This is exactly what feminism does. Seek attention, dismiss everybody, deny bonding, repeat. In a society where humans evolved for thousands of generations this algorithm has to end with: until you are raped. Note that the genetic influence is only required to shape an emotion, this algorithm arises from this single emotion and the social movement follows as mere rationalization of this algorithm.

Furthermore, if this hypothetical "rape gene" causes disgust to sexual intercourse (of any degree) in males as well as in females, it still contributes to magnify violent behaviour. Disgust is easy to link with violence (which is supported by known rapists' confessions), males may act out their lust with violence, when negative emotions (linked to sexual intercourse) are present.

3 Conclusion

This hypothesis explains why feminists insist (more often by activism rather than formally stating their views, but the form of the expression does not change the views) that consensual sex is not possible – they simply believe (or rather feel) so. I understand, it is hard to believe that people say what they think, but it is the simplest explanation for now. A social motion arises from personal views that in turn have arisen from emotions and attitudes. In other words, feminism is a rationalization of the particular emotionally driven behaviour that is originally intended to promote rape genes and has to be caused by these genes according to evolutionary logic.

Also this hypothesis explains the alliance between feminism and Islam (Jordan B Peterson (in his speeches) has half-explained this phenomenon from a psychological perspective, invoking "subconscious" (which should be read as a placeholder for an unknown underlying phenomenon), whereas the present hypothesis completes his explanation by offering a genetic mechanism responsible for "subconscious").

References

- [1] Richard Dawkins *The Selfish Gene*, Oxford University Press, 2006,
ISBN-10: 0199291152